

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,690	03/07/2001	Li-Lung Chao	YOR920010128US1/127-0007 5054	
75	90 02/04/2005		EXAMINER	
Philmore H. Colburn II			FADOK, MARK A	
Cantor Colburn 55 Griffin Road			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Bloomfield, Cl			3625	
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action Refore the Filing of an Appeal Brief	09/800,690	CHAO ET AL.					
♦ Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark Fadok	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess				
•••							
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant							
must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in							
condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued							
Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	136(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	tension and the corresponding amount	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late	snortened statutory period for reply ong r than three months after the mailing da	ginally set in the final Offic ate of the final relection, e	ven if timely filed.				
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.,, .					
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on 10 January 2005. A brief in compliance with 							
Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the							
of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) i E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educina or simplifyina t	he issues for				
appeal; and/or	,	gpyg -					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, umely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of							
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-21.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut before or on the data of filing a N	lation of Annual will no	t he entered				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar 							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•						
9. \square The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on on the diameter (sing is solow or addon					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	ice because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).							
13. Other: The amendment was persuasive in overcoming the USC 101 rejection of claims 11-21. However, there were no arguments provided to overcome the previous rejection on the merits, therefore, the previous rejection on the merits stands.							
, and the state of							
		_					
		162					
		- hi h					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050201

Application No.